

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/039,664 01/04/2002 Yoshikazu Matsumoto 9439 07/09/2003 2128 7590 HAVERSTOCK, GARRETT & ROBERTS EXAMINER 611 OLIVE STREET COLE, ELIZABETH M **SUITE 1610** ST. LOUIS, MO 63101 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1771

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/039,664	MATSUMOTO ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Elizabeth M Cole	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.			
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
·	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.		
·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 1771

- 1. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 2, the recitation that the catalyst is of "a morpholino ethyl ether type" renders the claim vague and indefinite because it is not clear what is meant by "type".
- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirano et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,027,777 in view of Aoki et al, U.S. Patent No. 4,880,869. Hirano discloses a forming material comprising a granulated material coated with a moisture-curable urethane prepolymer sealed within a water-permeable material having small openings than the size of the granulated material wherein the urethane polymer is polyurethane prepolymer which is water curable. The moisture-curable prepolymer may contain 1-10% by weight of a morpholino ethyl ether catalyst, (see col. 8, lines 60-67). The granulated material is not reactive the non-cured prepolymer. See col 6, line 33 col. 7, line 7. The water-permeable material has little affinity for the prepolymer. See col. 4, lines 5-10. The prepolymer may contain a catalyst, a stabilizer, an antifoaming agent and an antioxidant. See col. 8, lines 54-57. The prepolymer may comprise a thixotropic agent. See col. 8, lines 21-25. Although the Hirano does not disclose the hardness of the granulated material as set forth in claim 9, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 1771

to have selected the material from within those set forth by Hirano which had suitable properties such as hardness, strength, etc. Hirano differs from the claimed invention because Hirano does not disclose that the total isocyanate NCO radicals should be present at about 1-5% by weight. Aoki et al teaches at col. 10, lines 10-17, that suitable amounts of isocyanate radical content in the prepolymer is preferably in the range of 0.5-20% by weight when forming moisture-curable urethane prepolymers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the components of the prepolymer of Hirano so that it comprised 0.5-20% by weight of isocyanate radicals. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ 0.5-20% of isocyanate radicals because Aoki et al teaches that this percentage is preferred when forming moisture curable urethane prepolymers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (703) 308-0037. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Inquiries of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The fax number for official faxes is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for official after final faxes is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for unofficial faxes is (703) 305-5436.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771